6

Docket No.: P1854US00

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled, SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING A DIVICE WITH PROTECTION FROM A WEATHER EVENT

the specification of which							
(Check One): X is attached hereto. was filed on as							
and wa I hereby state that I have review the claims, as amended by a information which is material to Regulations, '1.56 printed on the Title 35, United States Code '1	any amendment(s) referred the patentability of this app he reverse side of this Decla 19 of any foreign application any foreign application for	le)	e duty to 37, Code of iority benef tificate liste	disclose f Federal fits under ed below			
Application No.	Country	Date of Filing					
			Yes	No			
below and, insofar as the subju- United States application in the	ect matter of each of the ce manner provided by the files material information as	ode, ' 120 of any United States laims of this application is not o rst paragraph of Title 35, United is defined in Title 37, Code of Fe oplication and the national or PC	disclosed in States Coo deral Regu	n the prior de, ' 112, ulations, '			
Application No.							
	Date of Filing	Status-Patented, Pending	or Abando	ned			





APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL To PATENTABILITY.

A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by ss 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- prior articited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and (2)
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office
- (b) Under this section information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application,
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or (1)
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in;
 - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (i)
 - Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability,

- Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 (1) Each inventor named in the application; (c)

 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

35 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention (a) thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior (b) to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
 - he has abandoned the invention, or
- the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns (d) in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant (e) for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - **(f)** he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

35 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign county which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application in this county is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for a patent for an invention which has been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.





I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

Frank Liebenow	f. 0	Lubers	. 1	
Inventor's Signature _	+ Nam	dulend	<u> </u>	
Date 2-27-	-02		_ Country of Citizenship:	United States
Residence: Dakota Du	<u>ınes, SD 57049</u>			
Post Office Address: 2	233 Bison Trail, Dak	ota Dunes, South Dak	ota 57049	





POWER OF ATTORNEY

GATEWAY, INC., Assignee(s) of the application for United States Letters Patent for

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING A DEVICE WITH PROTECTION FROM A WEATHER EVENT PURCHASING INTERFACE WITH A TASK DISPLAY (Title)

Frank Liebenow (Inventors)

X	executed on the	date(s) as indica	ted on the corresp	onding Declaration and A	ssignment therein, or
	having Serial No	, fil	ed		
a copy of the As substitution and r connected therew	evocation, to pro	ch is attached he esecute this app	ereto, do(es) here ication and transa	by appoint as attorneys act all business in the Pa	of record with full power of atent and Trademark Office
Mark S. V	Walker, Reg. No.	30,699	Kevin I Willian	uiter, Reg. No. 34,260 E. West, Reg. No. 43,983 n J. Breen, III., Reg. No. 4 V. Swantz, Reg. No. 46,3	15,313
Address correspo	ndence to: Ga	ateway, Inc. Attention: Mark 610 Gateway D			
Nicholas Street, S	uite 205	N. Sioux City, S Telephone: (85 Facsimile: (60	D 57049 8) 848-3449	287	
identified Assigner recordation concuthe chain of title, further declare the and belief are be statements and the United States Coissuing thereon.	e is the owner or rrently herewith. and to the best at all statements re lieved to be true he like so made and de, and that suc	of this application in accordance was considered from the considered from the construction of the construc	n by reason of a with 37 CFR § 3.3 e, all right, title, ar ny own knowledge at these statemer by fine or imprison atements may jeo	n assignment being filed 73(b), I certify that I have nd interest is in the abov are true and that all state its were made with the ment, or both, under sec	of the Assignee. The above- lawith the Patent Office for the reviewed all documents in the e-identified Assignee, and I the ements made on information knowledge that willful false that of the e application or any patent
Full Name	of Assignee	GATEV	/AY, INC.		ν,
Post Offic Address			Place, Poway, CA		
Signature or Assigne	of Declarant ee	menh	lunn		Date 27 Feb 2002
		-	-	 	
	of Declarant nan Assignee	Mark S	Walker, Reg. No.	30,699	
Title of De	clarant	Group Counse	l, Intellectual Prop	erty	
Address o	f Declarant	14303 Gatewa	y Place, Poway, C	A 92064	